MEAT SCIENCE AND MUSCLE BIOLOGY SYMPOSIUM: Stem cell niche and postnatal muscle growth^{1,2}

P. Bi and S. Kuang³

Department of Animal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907

ABSTRACT: Stem cell niche plays a critical role in regulating the behavior and function of adult stem cells that underlie tissue growth, maintenance, and regeneration. In the skeletal muscle, stem cells, called satellite cells, contribute to postnatal muscle growth and hypertrophy, and thus, meat production in agricultural animals. Satellite cells are located adjacent to mature muscle fibers underneath a sheath of basal lamina. Microenvironmental signals from extracellular matrix mediated by the basal lamina and from the host myofiber both impinge on satellite cells to regulate their activity. Furthermore, several types of muscle interstitial cells, including intramuscular preadipocytes and connective tissue fibroblasts, have recently been shown to interact with satellite cells and actively regulate the growth and regeneration of postnatal skeletal muscles. From this regard, interstitial adipogenic cells are not only important for marbling and meat quality, but also represent an additional cellular component of the satellite cell niche. At the molecular level, these interstitial cells may interact with satellite cells through cell surface ligands, such as delta-like 1 homolog (Dlk1) protein whose overexpression is thought to be responsible for muscle hypertrophy in callipyge sheep. In fact, extracellular Dlk1 protein has been shown to promote the myogenic differentiation of satellite cells. Understanding the cellular and molecular mechanisms within the stem cell niche that regulate satellite cell differentiation and maintain muscle homeostasis may lead to promising approaches to optimizing muscle growth and composition, thus improving meat production and quality.

Key words: delta-like 1 homolog, intramuscular adipose tissue, satellite cell, stem cell niche

©2012 American Society of Animal Science. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

The stem cell niche concept was first proposed by Schofield over 30 yr ago (Schofield, 1978). In an attempt to unify the inconsistency in the observed functions of hematopoietic stem cells, Schofield (1978) stated that "the stem cell is seen in association with other cells which determine its behavior." This stem cell niche concept implies that the behavior and funcJ. Anim. Sci. 2012. 90:924–935 http://dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas.2011-4594

tion of a stem cell is not only intrinsic to the stem cell, but also regulated by its neighboring cells. The stem cell niche is now generally referred to as the specialized microenvironment in which stem cells reside. Stem cell niche, through its cellular and molecular components, plays important roles in the regulation of many aspects of stem cell biology, including the birth and generation, quiescence, activation, proliferation, selfrenewal, differentiation, and senescence of stem cells. The cellular (e.g., supporting cells) and molecular (e.g., chemical and physical cues) components of a stem cell niche vary in different tissues. Several unique models of stem cell niche have been characterized in the past decade (Li and Xie, 2005; Nishikawa et al., 2008; Miller and Gauthier-Fisher, 2009; Eliasson and Jonsson, 2010; Greco and Guo, 2010). These include the germ-line stem cell niches in Drosophila and Caenorhabditis elegans, and mammalian stem cell niches in the subventricular zone of the brain, hair bulge, intestinal crypt, and bone marrow that harbor neural, hair follicle, intestinal, and hematopoietic stem cells, respectively. The satellite cell niche in the skeletal muscle has been emerging as an excellent model with which to both dissect fundamental mechanisms of stem cell regulation and explore trans-

¹Based on a presentation at the Meat Science and Muscle Biology Symposium titled "Extracellular matrix in skeletal muscle development and meat quality" at the Joint Annual Meeting, July 10 to 14, 2011, New Orleans, Louisiana, with publication sponsored by the American Society of Animal Science and the *Journal of Animal Sci*ence.

²Research in our laboratory is supported by National Research Initiative Competitive grant 2009-35206-05218 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (Washington, DC), Muscular Dystrophy Association (Tucson, AZ) grant 92478, and National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD) grant R01AR060652.

³Corresponding author: skuang@purdue.edu

Received August 14, 2011.

Accepted November 12, 2011.

lational approaches that enhance muscle growth and repair. This review discusses the cellular and molecular components of the satellite cell niche in the muscle and uses intramuscular preadipocytes and delta-like 1 homolog (**Dlk1**) as examples to elucidate how components of stem cell niche dynamically regulate satellite cell function during muscle growth and regeneration.

POSTNATAL MUSCLE GROWTH AND REGENERATION DEPEND ON SATELLITE CELLS

The muscle satellite cell (MuSC) is one of the best characterized and most abundant adult stem cell types in the body. Numerous studies in vitro and in vivo have demonstrated that MuSC can readily differentiate to become mature myofibers and self-renew to maintain their population. Under normal physiological conditions, MuSC lie underneath the basal lamina as quiescent cells until activated by stress, such as exercise, inflammation, or myotrauma, to enter cell cycle, proliferate, and progress further along the myogenic pathway. During this process, activated MuSC undergo rounds of division before terminally differentiating and fusing to form new myofibers or add nuclei to existing myofibers, thus contributing to muscle hypertrophy (Kuang and Rudnicki, 2008). Meanwhile a subset of the MuSC withdraws from the cell cycle and returns to quiescence to replenish the stem cell pool. Clonal analysis further demonstrates that single MuSC is capable of both differentiation and self-renewal, therefore fulfilling the defining features of a stem cell.

Postnatal muscle growth requires the addition of nuclei into growing myofibers (Enesco and Puddy, 1964). Early work using autoradiography labeling indicates that MuSC are the source of new myonuclei during postnatal muscle growth (Moss and Leblond, 1971). However, it has been reported in the past decade that several types of stem cells besides MuSC can contribute to muscle growth and regeneration (De Angelis et al., 1999; Asakura et al., 2002; LaBarge and Blau, 2002; Dezawa et al., 2005; Tamaki et al., 2008; Figure 1A). For instance, bone marrow-derived cells can contribute to the regeneration of damaged skeletal muscle and subsequently adopt sublaminar localization after transplantation to new host muscle tissues, in a way much like MuSC (LaBarge and Blau, 2002). In addition, vessel-associated progenitor cells, including mesoangioblasts, pericytes, and circulating cluster of differentiation (CD) 133^+ cells, are able to contribute to muscle lineage and serve as promising stem cell types in the treatment of muscular dystrophy (Torrente et al., 2004; Sampaolesi et al., 2006; Dellavalle et al., 2007). Most recently, a population of muscle interstitial $Pw1^+/Pax7^-$ (paternally expressed 3/paired box 7) progenitor cells has been shown to be able to self-renew and give rise to $Pax7^+$ MuSC (Mitchell et al., 2010). Although the interstitial Pw1⁺ cells are of Pax7-negative origin, their myogenic specification is dependent on Pax7 (Mitchell et al., 2010). Interestingly, Pw1 is widely expressed by adult stem cells in multiple tissues, including epidermal, hematopoietic, muscular, and neural tissues (Besson et al., 2011).

Given the variety of stem cells that are reported to be capable of myogenic differentiation, it has been unclear whether MuSC are absolutely required for postnatal muscle growth and repair. Three recent papers examined this question (Lepper et al., 2011; Murphy et al., 2011; Sambasivan et al., 2011). In these studies, Pax7-expressing MuSC were specifically ablated in postnatal skeletal muscles. Both Lepper et al. (2011) and Murphy et al. (2011) used $Pax 7^{CreER}/Rosa^{DTA}$ compound mutant mice to express diphtheria toxin subunit A (DTA) in Pax7⁺ cells upon administration of tamoxifen, thus leading to the ablation of MuSC. Using a similar approach, Sambasivan et al. (2011) created $Pax\gamma^{DTR}$ knock-in mice that express diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) driven by the endogenous *Pax7* gene locus, leading to ablation of $Pax7^+$ satellite cells upon administration of diphtheria toxin. Using these cell lineage ablation tools, these studies demonstrated that satellite cells are indeed required for postnatal muscle regeneration and indicated further that other muscle resident progenitors only play a passive role in myogenesis and are not sufficient to mediate muscle regeneration in the absence of MuSC. Because MuSC are also required for postnatal muscle growth, understanding the basic biology and molecular regulation of MuSC will be necessary for improving growth efficiency and meat production in animal agriculture (Dodson et al., 2010b).

REGULATION OF MUSCLE FIBER TYPE COMPOSITION AND MEAT PRODUCTION AND QUALITY

Among many biological properties of the muscle, myofiber number, myofiber size, and myofiber composition (i.e., fiber types) are directly related to meat yield and quality in the meat animal industry. As the number of myofibers per muscle is already settled at perinatal stages, only myofiber size and composition can possibly be altered by intrinsic and extrinsic factors during postnatal muscle growth. Based on their expression of myosin heavy chain isoforms and metabolic properties, muscle fibers can be classified into 4 categories: slow twitch oxidative type I, fast twitch oxidativeglycolytic type IIA, fast twitch glycolytic IIX, and fast twitch glycolytic IIB (Schiaffino and Reggiani, 1996). Fiber type composition is dynamically shaped by both intrinsic (e.g., signaling pathways) and extrinsic (e.g., exercise and maternal nutrition) factors (Wegner et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2001; Gentry et al., 2002; Hwang et al., 2010). The fiber type remodeling process is empowered by different signaling pathways, as comprehensively reviewed by others (Bassel-Duby and Olson, 2006).



Figure 1. Panel A: cellular and molecular components of the muscle satellite cell (MuSC) niche. MuSC, including Pax7⁺Myf5⁻ and Pax7⁺Myf5⁺ cells that generated from asymmetrical cell division, are regulated by various growth factors. These mainly include IGF-1, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). The physical and cellular components of MuSC niche include basal lamina, host myofiber, interstitial fibroblasts (transcriptional factor 4 positive cells, Tcf4⁺), preadipocytes (Pax3⁻/Myf5⁻), and vasculature. Other muscle resident stem cell types (Pw1⁺/Pax7⁻ PIC, CD133⁺ cell, mesoangioblast, pericyte, bone marrow-derived cell, BMDC) may interact with or give rise to MuSC. Panel B: regulation of MuSC fate choices by cues present in the niche. Signals from resting muscle inhibit the expansion of interstitial cells, including preadipocytes and fibroblasts, whereas cues from regenerating muscle promote proliferation of interstitial cells. During muscle regeneration, interstitial cells may provide physical and chemical cues [e.g., delta-like 1 homolog (Dlk1) and IL-6] that facilitate myogenic differentiation. Pax3/7: paired box 3/7; Myf5: myogenic factor 5; PIC: Pw1 (paternally expressed 3) positive interstitial cells. Color version available in the online PDF.

Because of the importance of the muscle fiber types in the variation of meat quality of farm animals, such as tenderness and juiciness (Lee et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2011), extensive efforts have been invested in selection of meat animal breeds with better meat traits. One approach is through characterizing the SNP of the breeds with preferred fiber characteristics and meat quality (Haegeman et al., 2003; Gill et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2011). These accumulating SNP data not only provide candidate genetic markers for breed selection of farm animals, but also lead to mechanistic understanding of how external factors regulate fiber type diversity. For instance, several SNP, identified in the gene PPAR γ coactivator 1 α (**PGC-1***a*), a transcriptional coactivator enriched in type I fiber, can affect muscle fiber characteristics and meat quality traits of pigs (Lin et al., 2002; Haegeman et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011). It has been shown that PGC-1 α -induced fiber type switching is mediated by and requires hypoxia-inducible factor 2α (**HIF** 2α), and ablation of $HIF2\alpha$ in differentiated myocytes using myogenin-cre driver mice resulted in the switch of slow fiber type toward fast fiber type (Rasbach et al., 2010). Because HIF2 α is regulated by exercise and oxygen availability, these results bridge external regulation to intracellular molecular pathways that act together to influence fiber type. In addition, PGC-1a is regulated by calcineurin (i.e., calcium-dependent phosphatase) signaling, which has also been involved in triggering slow fiber gene expression (Olson and Williams, 2000; Lin et al., 2002). Interestingly, myogenic factor 5 (Myf5) gene expression in skeletal muscle "reserve" cells, thought to represent self-renewing MuSC, is also regulated by the calcineurin and nuclear factor of activated T cell (NFAT) pathway (Friday and Pavlath, 2001). Collectively, these studies indicated that myogenic differentiation and fiber type specification may be regulated in parallel by extrinsic and intrinsic signaling pathways.

Previous studies have shown that MuSC derived from different fiber types exhibited distinct characteristics, such as MuSC number per fiber, temporal expression of genes encoding myogenic regulatory factors, and differentiation tendency toward specific fiber types (Gibson and Schultz, 1982; Barjot et al., 1995; Rosenblatt et al., 1996; DiMario and Stockdale, 1997). Such evidence supports the hypothesis that MuSC are partially committed to a specific muscle type, though neural activities can also lead to changes in myofiber types (Schiaffino and Serrano, 2002). Although these studies provided primary evidence that regulation of subpopulations of MuSC may be exploited as an approach to modify muscle fiber types and meat production and quality in animal agriculture, we do not yet have clear answers to several fundamental questions. To what extent are postnatal MuSC specified to a particular fiber type before myogenic differentiation? What are the fiber type programming factors expressed by these subpopulations of MuSC? Alternatively, are there fiber-type-specific niches that regulate the differentiation of satellite cells into fast or slow twitch myofibers? How do the MuSC coordinate with the fiber type specific niche during neonatal muscle development and postnatal muscle fiber type switching?

SATELLITE CELL NICHE

Muscle satellite cells were initially identified by electron microscopy as a population of cells wedged between the muscle fiber (i.e., myofiber) plasma membrane and the basal membrane surrounding the myofiber (Mauro, 1961). This unique anatomical localization defines the architectural basis of the MuSC niche that interacts physically with host myofiber and the basal lamina, a major component of the muscle extracellular matrix. In addition, MuSC are also regulated by microenvironmental cues released from the host myofibers, the extracellular matrix, and the adjacent circulatory vasculature system (Figure 1A). Muscle satellite cells may also actively interact with neighboring interstitial cells including vascular myoendothelial cells, intramuscular connective tissue fibroblasts, and preadipocytes (Figure 1A). Because of the importance of proper niche regulation on the self-renewal and differentiation of MuSC (Kuang and Rudnicki, 2008; Kuang et al., 2008), extensive effort has been undertaken to characterize the niche factors and their mechanism of regulation on MuSC.

Satellite cells respond to dynamic niche signals by readily alternating cell status between the mitotic quiescence and active states to meet physiological demands, such as muscle growth and repair. Among the diverse niche components, host myofibers were most actively involved in the regulation of MuSC through mechanical, chemical, and electrical interactions. Stimulant signals from the host fiber include mechanical stretch, release of hepatocyte growth factor (**HGF**), and K^+ ion stimuli (Molgó et al., 2004; Tatsumi, 2010). During muscle exercise, overload, or injury, mechanically crushed or stretched myofibers can rapidly release these stimulating factors as well as induce the release of growth factors, such as HGF, from extracellular matrix (Bischoff, 1986; Chen et al., 1994). The HGF then binds to the c-met receptor present on the cell surface to activate MuSC (Tatsumi, 2010). Although the mechanisms directly coupling fiber stretch and MuSC activation have not yet been clearly established, fiber stretching-conditioned medium assays indeed substantiated the involvement of HGF during the MuSC activation process (Tatsumi et al., 2002, 2006). Together with other signaling molecules such as calcium-calmodulin, matrix metalloproteinases (MMP), and nitric oxide, the mechanical stretch stimuli play important roles in MuSC activation. It was proposed that mechanical stretch induces a cascade of events including calcium influx, calcium-calmodulin formation, nitric oxide radical production, MMP activation, and HGF release and its binding to c-met receptors on MuSC (Tatsumi, 2010). Interestingly, HGF can also regulate motor neuron reinnervation after muscle injury through semaphorin 3A (McLoon, 2009; Tatsumi et al., 2009).

Another equally important niche component is the extracellular matrix (ECM), which mainly acts through the basal lamina to exert its impact on MuSC (Boonen and Post, 2008). Direct contact with the basal lamina is essential for maintaining stem cell quiescence in many tissue stem cell types (Fuchs et al., 2004). Earlier studies evaluated the involvement and necessity of ECM during the muscle regeneration and MuSC differentiation (Rao et al., 1985; Melo et al., 1996). Among different extracellular matrix proteins, collagen, laminin, and Matrigel (a commercial ECM product containing both collagen and laminin; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) are the preferred coating for in vitro culture of primary MuSC to sustain its proliferation and differentiation capacity (Wilschut et al., 2010). Regenerating muscle forms a transitional extracellular matrix that provides cues to regulate the behavior of MuSC (Calve et al., 2010). During this process, MMP actively regulate ECM remodeling, not only through their protease activity to digest the ECM constituents, but also through interaction with cytokines that play important roles in cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis (Bellavr et al., 2009). In agreement with this, gene profiling analysis of MuSC isolated from regenerating skeletal muscles of x-linked muscular dystrophy mice and from healthy adult skeletal muscles reveals the involvement of MMP in dismantling the ECM during the muscle regeneration and MuSC activation (Pallafacchina et al., 2010). Conversely, transcripts of MMP inhibitors were found to be increased in the quiescent MuSC compared with the activated MuSC (Pallafacchina et al., 2010). Recently, CD147, an extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer, was further shown to directly regulate the differentiation process of MuSC (Attia et al., 2011). Furthermore, MMP can regulate the behavior of MuSC either directly through enhancing their migration, or indirectly through activating the canonical myogenic inhibitory pathway, transforming growth factor- β (McLennan and Koishi, 2002; Wang et al., 2009; Attia et al., 2011). These lines of evidence indicate the versatility and importance of the MMP-mediated ECM activity to regulate MuSC.

The dynamic self-renewal and differentiation of MuSC cultured on intact muscle fibers provided another line of evidence that the native niche, especially host myofiber and extracellular matrix, were indispensable in the fine tuning of cell fate (Halevy et al., 2004; Olguin and Olwin, 2004; Zammit et al., 2004). Asymmetric cell division is a general mechanism underlying stem cell heterogeneity and cell fate choices between self-renewal and differentiation (Knoblich, 2008). In a line of evidence with this, heterogeneous molecular marker profiles, such as CD34, M-cadherin, stem cell antigen-1, and Myf5 (Beauchamp et al., 2000), have been reported in subpopulations of MuSC, which are achieved through asymmetric cell division (Shinin et al., 2006; Conboy et al., 2007; Kuang et al., 2007). However, MyoD-Cre mediated lineage tracing indicates that all satellite cells are essentially committed to the MyoD lineage (Kanisicak et al., 2009). Importantly, stem cell niche plays a critical role in asymmetric cell fate determination of MuSC. As a result of apico-basal-oriented cell division, differential niche cues are exposed to the basal and apical cells, resulting in distinct cell fate (Kuang et al., 2007). The basal cells are predominantly $Pax7^+Myf5^-$ and self-renew, whereas the apical cells are mostly $Pax7^+Myf5^+$ and become committed and differentiate (Figure 1A). The asymmetrical fate determination model, through which MuSC can keep the stem cell pool size while sustaining the dynamic tissue homeostasis, may represent a fundamental mechanism to achieve long-term postnatal muscle growth.

In addition to the biochemical property, the biophysical properties, for instance the stiffness of culture substrates, of ECM can also affect the behavior and function of MuSC. Myoblasts cultured on the soft culture substrate hydrogel, with an elasticity mimicking that of muscle, can substantially contribute to muscle regeneration, compared with low survival rate of the grafted myoblast, cultured in the rigid plastic dish (Gilbert et al., 2010).

The vasculature represents a third niche component that interacts with MuSC. The MuSC are strikingly close to capillaries (Christov et al., 2007), which provide nutrients and circulatory growth factors to sustain continued muscle growth. Furthermore, the juxtavascular MuSC niche also facilitates cellular communication during angiogenesis and myogenesis (Figure 1A). Intriguingly, endothelial and other cells may promote the growth of MuSC through secretion of soluble factors, such as IGF-1, HGF, basic fibroblast growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, and vascular endothelial growth factor (Yablonka-Reuveni and Rivera, 1997; Yablonka-Reuveni et al., 1999; Kästner et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2003; Christov et al., 2007; Deasy et al., 2009). Consequently, in ovo administration of IGF-1 leads to satellite cell activation, neonatal skeletal muscle hypertrophy, and increased muscle mass (Liu et al., 2011). Furthermore, angiopoietin 1/Tie-2 (tyrosine kinase, endothelial) signaling, an active regulator for vascular homeostasis, has been shown to promote MuSC self-renewal both by autocrine and paracrine effects (Shim et al., 2007; Abou-Khalil et al., 2009). Further, angiogenesis was promoted when the microvascular fragment was cultured in MuSC-conditioned media or cocultured with MuSC (Deasy et al., 2009; Rhoads et al., 2009). This pro-angiogenic effect coincides with the functional HIF pathway in the MuSC (Rhoads et al., 2009). Based on the mutual facilitative interaction between angiogenesis and myogenesis, highly functional muscle regeneration was achieved with combined delivery of IGF-1 and vascular endothelial growth factor, which led to simultaneous angiogenesis, reinnervation, and myogenesis (Borselli et al., 2010; Ota et al., 2011).

Functional deterioration of skeletal muscle regenerative capacity in old animals was associated with decreased MuSC number and functionality, as well as deteriorating microenvironment (Shefer et al., 2006; Gopinath and Rando, 2008). However, the role of microenvironment may be more predominant during muscle regeneration. Robust satellite activation and substantial muscle regeneration were observed when the old satellite cells were grafted into a young host, whereas young satellite cells transplanted into an old host had poor regenerative capacity (Zacks and Sheff, 1982; Carlson and Faulkner, 1989). Collectively, the existing and emerging lines of evidence support an important role of MuSC niche in the regulation of satellite cells and, thus, the growth and regeneration of skeletal muscles.

REGULATION OF MUSCLE SATELLITE CELLS BY EXTRACELLULAR DELTA-LIKE 1 HOMOLOG PROTEIN

Genomic imprinting refers to the monoallelic expression or repression of genes due to differential epigenetic modification, most often methylation, of paternal and maternal chromosomes (Ferguson-Smith and Surani, 2001). Most imprinted genes are grouped into clusters. Each imprinting cluster is regulated by the same imprinting control center, but different mechanisms may be used to establish and maintain the marks of imprinted genes in different clusters (Edwards and Ferguson-Smith, 2007). Many imprinted genes are important to individual development, whereas abnormal regulation of the imprinted loci is often associated with pathologies (Fowden et al., 2006; Kawahara et al., 2007; Ubeda and Wilkins, 2008; Weksberg, 2010).

Delta-like 1 homolog is a paternally imprinted gene located within the imprinted Dlk1-Dio3 (deiodinase, iodothyronine, type III) gene cluster on distal mouse chromosome 12 and encodes an epidermal growth factor-like protein that can either be a transmembrane or secreted form. Recent studies have established a key role for the Dlk1-Dio3 gene cluster in the pluripotency of induced pluripotent stem cells and embryonic stem cells (Liu et al., 2010; Stadtfeld et al., 2010). In addition, Dlk1 plays important roles in adipogenesis, neurogenesis, hematopoiesis and progression of many cancer types (Moon et al., 2002; Sakajiri et al., 2005; Espina et al., 2009; Yanai et al., 2010; Bordonaro et al., 2011; Ferron et al., 2011).

Much interest of Dlk1 in muscle growth has been driven by the postnatal muscle hypertrophy phenotype observed in the torso and hind-limb of callipyge sheep, a domestic breed with a single nucleotide mutation in the *Dlk1-Dio3* imprinted region that leads to abnormal expression of several imprinted genes, including Dlk1 (Freking et al., 2002). Increased expression of *Dlk1* is believed to be responsible for the callipyge phenotype (Davis et al., 2004). Consistent with this notion, transgenic mice that constitutively overexpress Dlk1 exhibited premature tissue growth, including muscle, during embryonic development, but delayed postnatal tissue growth and perinatal lethality (da Rocha et al., 2009). Conversely, tissue-specific or conditional knockout of Dlk1 mice using Myf5-Cre resulted in reduced BW and skeletal muscle mass, as well as compromised regenerative capacity (Waddell et al., 2010). The conditional knockout of *Dlk1* phenotype unequivocally justified the indispensable role of the Dlk1 during postnatal muscle development and regeneration. The observed postnatal muscle growth retardation was associated with reduction of fiber numbers and myosin heavy chain IIB gene expression, whereas impaired regenerative performance was associated with augmented myogenic inhibitory signaling mediated by nuclear factor kappa-B and inflammatory cytokines (Waddell et al., 2010).

The role of Dlk1 in muscle growth may be mediated by MuSC. A small fraction of Dlk1⁺ satellite cells are involved in the muscle remodeling under myopathy conditions in mouse models (Andersen et al., 2009). Depletion of Dlk1 leads to compromised differentiation but enhanced self-renewal potential of MuSC (Waddell et al., 2010). Conversely, Dlk1 overexpression inhibited the proliferation but enhanced differentiation of cultured myoblasts in vitro (Waddell et al., 2010). Interestingly, overexpression of Dlk1 in callipyge sheep muscle also causes the fiber type switch toward the fast twitch glycolytic fibers that express the myosin heavy chain IIB gene (Carpenter et al., 1996). Myogenic lineagespecific *Dlk1* knockout mice showed similar switches in myosin heavy chain gene expression, though no overt changes were detected at the protein level (Waddell et al., 2010).

Importantly, *Dlk1* expression during development and postnatal regeneration is temporally regulated (Waddell et al., 2010). Its expression is greater during embryonic development and gradually decreases after birth. Although Dlk1 is expressed at very low abundance under resting conditions in the adult muscle, its expression is rapidly upregulated in nascent myofibers during muscle regeneration. The temporal dynamics of Dlk1 expression at different stages of myogenesis indicate a model in which *Dlk1* expressed by newly differentiated muscle cells non-cell-autonomously promotes the differentiation of their neighbor satellite cells and, therefore, leads to muscle hypertrophy (Waddell et al., 2010). As MuSC express very low abundance of Dlk1, the role of Dlk1 in satellite cell self-renewal and differentiation is probably non-cell-autonomous. Ongoing efforts should aim to define the molecular pathways through which Dlk1 regulates the MuSC fate choice. One possible scenario is that Dlk1 interacts with Notch receptors on the cell surface of its neighboring MuSC and modulate the Notch signaling pathway in the MuSC. In support of this notion, a functional antagonizing interaction between Dlk1 and Notch signaling pathway has been implicated in the stem cell regulation during neurogenesis (Surmacz et al., 2011).

Because Dlk1 is an extracellular ligand that exerts its function through interacting with cell surface receptors in the neighboring MuSC, it can be classified as a cue in the stem cell niche. Given the implications of Dlk1 in the regulation of MuSC and postnatal muscle hypertrophy, it is interesting to investigate the upstream regulator of Dlk1 during myogenesis. Emerging evidence revealed the regulatory mechanisms existing in many different aspects, involving both *cis*-regulation (Takeda et al., 2006) and trans-regulation through mediators, such as miRNA and canonical signaling pathways (Nueda et al., 2007b, 2008; Andersen et al., 2010). For instance, at least 10 genes and dozens of miRNA were shown to distribute in the *Dlk1-Dio3* imprinted cluster on mouse chromosome 12qF1 (Hagan et al., 2009), which provided the *cis*-regulatory element candidates for Dlk1 activity in the context of muscle hypertrophy.

INTRAMUSCULAR ADIPOSE AND FIBROBLASTS AS NOVEL REGULATORS OF MUSCLE SATELLITE CELLS

Muscle (i.e., lean) to fat ratio of farm animals is an important index for meat quality. Greater intramuscular adipose tissue (IMAT) content, also known as marbling adipose tissue in meat animals, is associated with better meat taste (Dodson et al., 2010a). Likewise, excess accumulation of adipose tissue, including IMAT, in the body is a health risk factor associated with metabolic syndromes, such as diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer (Marcus and Stern, 2006; Ben-Jonathan et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2009; Dodson et al., 2010a). Among many fat depots, IMAT is of equivalent size to visceral fat and is localized between muscle fiber bundles, as characterized by magnetic resonance imaging (Gallagher et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2011). However, IMAT continues to be relatively less characterized compared with other fat depots and its tissue origin was believed to be at least partially from adipogenic conversion of MuSC (Vettor et al., 2009).

Taking advantage of the Cre/LoxP-mediated lineage tracing transgenic mice, it has been shown that IMAT is derived from a Myf5⁻ and MyoD⁻ lineage (Joe et al., 2010; Starkey et al., 2011). We showed further that hindlimb IMAT is exclusively derived from a non-Pax3 lineage and can readily differentiate into white adipocytes (Liu et al., 2012). In contrast, brown adipose progenitors are derived from a Pax3⁺ lineage (Liu et al., 2012). Because hindlimb MuSC are derived from the *Pax3* lineage, these observations argue against the possibility that IMAT arises from transdifferentiation of MuSC.

Under normal physiological conditions, IMAT was mostly known for its notorious association with increased muscle insulin resistance, muscle wasting, and diabetes (Schrauwen-Hinderling et al., 2006; Yim et al., 2007; Taaffe et al., 2009), but whether or not IMAT and their progenitors play a positive role in the growth and regeneration of muscle tissue has been unclear. We recently employed the $Rosa^{DTR}$ model combined with Myf5-Cre and aP2-Cre driver lines to selectively ablate either myogenic or adipogenic cell lineages, respectively (Liu et al., 2012). We found that ablation of muscle cells facilitates adipogenesis of intramuscular adipose progenitors. This result is consistent with previous observations of increased adiposity in dystrophic and atrophic muscles. Surprisingly, however, we found that ablation of the aP2-lineage adipogenic cells prevented the efficient regeneration of acutely injured muscles (Liu et al., 2012). Although previous studies have demonstrated that some cytokines released by adipogenic cells can positively regulate myogenic cell proliferation and differentiation (Li, 2003; Serrano et al., 2008; Acharyya et al., 2010; Joe et al., 2010; Palacios et al., 2010; Toth et al., 2011), our results demonstrated for the first time that the adipogenic cell lineage plays a positive role and is required for efficient muscle regeneration after acute injury (Figure 1B). It would be interesting to examine whether an appropriate proportion of adipogenic cells is necessary for the normal growth and maintenance of the skeletal muscle. Another important question to address is at which level adipogenic cells regulate muscle regeneration. Conceivably, preadipocytes may interact directly with myogenic progenitors and regulate their differentiation (Figure 1B). From this point of view, vessel-associated preadipocytes may be considered as a cellular component of the satellite cell niche.

Another line of evidence supporting intramuscular adipogenic cells as a component of the MuSC niche is the direct correlation between the number of preadipocytes and that of satellite cells in skeletal muscles. Compared with the fast glycolytic extensor digitorum lateral muscle, the slow oxidative soleus muscle is known to contain 2 to 3 times greater MuSC per myofiber (Collins et al., 2005). Coincidentally, both the abundance of preadipocytes and the adipogenicity are also greater in the soleus muscle compared with the extensor digitorum lateral muscle (Liu et al., 2012). The muscle-type-specific distribution of IMAT and myogenic progenitors may indicate a functional interaction between the 2 types of cells to maintain muscle tissue homeostasis and adaptation to different physiological requirements.

Using similar cell lineage ablation approaches, transcription factor 4 (**TCF4**) positive intramuscular fibroblasts have recently been shown to play an active role in mediating MuSC proliferation and skeletal muscle regeneration (Murphy et al., 2011). Both TCF4 expression and number of TCF4⁺ fibroblasts robustly increases during muscle regeneration, and TCF4⁺ fibroblasts proliferate in close proximity with MuSC. Intriguingly, connective tissue fibroblasts also actively regulate muscle fiber type specification and maturation during development through TCF4-dependent and independent signaling pathways (Mathew et al., 2011). These results demonstrate that dynamic interactions between nonmyogenic fibroblasts and myogenic progenitor cells are crucial for skeletal muscle development and regeneration (Figure 1B).

In light of the view that preadipocytes and fibroblasts comprise cellular components of the MuSC niche, it becomes particularly important to understand the molecular mechanisms bridging the crosstalk between MuSC and niche cells. One possible candidate would be Dlk1, which exerts multiple roles in adipogenesis as well as myogenesis. Ectopic expression of Dlk1 globally or conditionally in the liver resulted in a decrease of adipose mass (Lee et al., 2003). In contrast, mice lacking paternally expressed Dlk1 displayed accelerated adiposity (Moon et al., 2002). Furthermore, different Dlk1 splice variants have unique roles on porcine adipogenesis (Samulin et al., 2009). The inhibitory effect of Dlk1 on adipogenesis was shown to act through several mechanisms, including microRNA, IGF-1, and Notch signaling, which are potent regulators of MuSC and myogenesis as well (Nueda et al., 2007b, 2008; Andersen et al., 2010; Mayeuf and Relaix, 2011; Porrello et al., 2011; Schiaffino and Mammucari, 2011). Interestingly Dlk2, a paralog of Dlk1, can also modulate adipogenesis and regulate Dlk1 (Nueda et al., 2007a; Sánchez-Solana et al., 2011). However, how Dlk1 mediates the interaction between the adipogenic and myogenic progenitor cells remains untested. It is possible that preadipocytes, known to express increased abundance of Dlk1, positively regulate the differentiation of MuSC through a similar mechanism by which nascent myofibers regulate the differentiation of their neighbor MuSC (Figure 1B).

In summary, our myogenic and adipogenic lineage ablation experiments reveal the dynamic interaction between the 2 tissue types, which is essential for tissue homeostasis under normal physiological condition, as well as proper muscle regeneration under pathological muscle condition (Figure 1B). Undoubtedly, local environment and systematic signals are involved in regulating the dynamics of tissue type composition as seen in the aging muscle (Gopinath and Rando, 2008). We anticipate that future work will evaluate the long-term effect of IMAT on postnatal muscle growth. To address this question, IMAT-specific ablation or inhibition model would be valuable. From this regard, it is also essential to characterize the molecular mechanisms coupling the canonical myogenic and adipogenic pathways. Recently, the myogenic involvement of the mesoangioblasts under muscle dystrophic conditions was shown to be mediated by one of the adipokines, adiponectin (Fiaschi et al., 2010). Similarly, it is plausible that similar adipogenic cues can also coordinate with other cues in the MuSC niche to regulate MuSC and postnatal muscle growth.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We discuss in this review how cellular and molecular components of the stem cell niche regulate muscle satellite cells and subsequently muscle growth and regeneration. Although many of the data are based on skeletal muscle regeneration models, they are nevertheless applicable to muscle growth, which is regulated by similar cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in regeneration. It is important to note that most of the results are based on studies in rodent animal models. The information available from large farm animals is relatively scant. We expect that information from model animals can be transformed to novel approaches that enhance muscle growth and meat production in animal agriculture.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abou-Khalil, R., F. Le Grand, G. Pallafacchina, S. Valable, F. J. Authier, M. A. Rudnicki, R. K. Gherardi, S. Germain, F. Chretien, A. Sotiropoulos, P. Lafuste, D. Montarras, and B. Chazaud. 2009. Autocrine and paracrine angiopoietin 1/Tie-2 signaling promotes muscle satellite cell self-renewal. Cell Stem Cell 5:298–309.
- Acharyya, S., S. M. Sharma, A. S. Cheng, K. J. Ladner, W. He, W. Kline, H. Wang, M. C. Ostrowski, T. H. Huang, and D. C. Guttridge. 2010. TNF inhibits Notch-1 in skeletal muscle cells by Ezh2 and DNA methylation mediated repression: Implications in duchenne muscular dystrophy. PLoS ONE 5:e12479.
- Andersen, D. C., C. H. Jensen, M. Schneider, A. Y. Nossent, T. Eskildsen, J. L. Hansen, B. Teisner, and S. P. Sheikh. 2010. MicroRNA-15a fine-tunes the level of Delta-like 1 homolog (DLK1) in proliferating 3T3–L1 preadipocytes. Exp. Cell Res. 316:1681–1691.
- Andersen, D. C., S. J. Petersson, L. H. Jorgensen, P. Bollen, P. B. Jensen, B. Teisner, H. D. Schroeder, and C. H. Jensen. 2009. Characterization of DLK1+ cells emerging during skeletal muscle remodeling in response to myositis, myopathies, and acute injury. Stem Cells 27:898–908.
- Asakura, A., P. Seale, A. Girgis-Gabardo, and M. A. Rudnicki. 2002. Myogenic specification of side population cells in skeletal muscle. J. Cell Biol. 159:123–134.
- Attia, M., E. Huet, J. Delbe, D. Ledoux, S. Menashi, and I. Martelly. 2011. Extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer (EMMPRIN/CD147) as a novel regulator of myogenic cell differentiation. J. Cell. Physiol. 226:141–149.
- Barjot, C., M. L. Cotten, C. Goblet, R. G. Whalen, and F. Bacou. 1995. Expression of myosin heavy chain and of myogenic regulatory factor genes in fast or slow rabbit muscle satellite cell cultures. J. Muscle Res. Cell Motil. 16:619–628.
- Bassel-Duby, R., and E. N. Olson. 2006. Signaling pathways in skeletal muscle remodeling. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 75:19–37.
- Beauchamp, J. R., L. Heslop, D. S. Yu, S. Tajbakhsh, R. G. Kelly, A. Wernig, M. E. Buckingham, T. A. Partridge, and P. S. Zammit. 2000. Expression of CD34 and Myf5 defines the majority of quiescent adult skeletal muscle satellite cells. J. Cell Biol. 151:1221–1234.
- Bellayr, I. H., X. Mu, and Y. Li. 2009. Biochemical insights into the role of matrix metalloproteinases in regeneration: Challenges and recent developments. Future Med. Chem. 1:1095–1111.
- Ben-Jonathan, N., E. R. Hugo, and T. D. Brandebourg. 2009. Effects of bisphenol A on adipokine release from human adipose tissue: Implications for the metabolic syndrome. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 304:49–54.
- Besson, V., P. Smeriglio, A. Wegener, F. Relaix, B. Nait Oumesmar, D. A. Sassoon, and G. Marazzi. 2011. PW1 gene/paternally

expressed gene 3 (PW1/Peg3) identifies multiple adult stem and progenitor cell populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108:11470–11475.

- Bischoff, R. 1986. A satellite cell mitogen from crushed adult muscle. Dev. Biol. 115:140–147.
- Boonen, K. J., and M. J. Post. 2008. The muscle stem cell niche: Regulation of satellite cells during regeneration. Tissue Eng. Part B Rev. 14:419–431.
- Bordonaro, M., S. Tewari, W. Atamna, and D. L. Lazarova. 2011. The Notch ligand Delta-like 1 integrates inputs from TGFbeta/ Activin and Wnt pathways. Exp. Cell Res. 317:1368–1381.
- Borselli, C., H. Storrie, F. Benesch-Lee, D. Shvartsman, C. Cezar, J. W. Lichtman, H. H. Vandenburgh, and D. J. Mooney. 2010. Functional muscle regeneration with combined delivery of angiogenesis and myogenesis factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107:3287–3292.
- Calve, S., S. J. Odelberg, and H. G. Simon. 2010. A transitional extracellular matrix instructs cell behavior during muscle regeneration. Dev. Biol. 344:259–271.
- Carlson, B. M., and J. A. Faulkner. 1989. Muscle transplantation between young and old rats: Age of host determines recovery. Am. J. Physiol. 256:C1262–C1266.
- Carpenter, C. E., O. D. Rice, N. E. Cockett, and G. D. Snowder. 1996. Histology and composition of muscles from normal and callipyge lambs. J. Anim. Sci. 74:388–393.
- Chen, G., R. S. Birnbaum, Z. Yablonka-Reuveni, and L. S. Quinn. 1994. Separation of mouse crushed muscle extract into distinct mitogenic activities by heparin affinity chromatography. J. Cell. Physiol. 160:563–572.
- Christov, C., F. Chretien, R. Abou-Khalil, G. Bassez, G. Vallet, F. J. Authier, Y. Bassaglia, V. Shinin, S. Tajbakhsh, B. Chazaud, and R. K. Gherardi. 2007. Muscle satellite cells and endothelial cells: Close neighbors and privileged partners. Mol. Biol. Cell 18:1397–1409.
- Collins, C. A., I. Olsen, P. S. Zammit, L. Heslop, A. Petrie, T. A. Partridge, and J. E. Morgan. 2005. Stem cell function, selfrenewal, and behavioral heterogeneity of cells from the adult muscle satellite cell niche. Cell 122:289–301.
- Conboy, M. J., A. O. Karasov, and T. A. Rando. 2007. High incidence of non-random template strand segregation and asymmetric fate determination in dividing stem cells and their progeny. PLoS Biol. 5:e102.
- da Rocha, S. T., M. Charalambous, S. P. Lin, I. Gutteridge, Y. Ito, D. Gray, W. Dean, and A. C. Ferguson-Smith. 2009. Gene dosage effects of the imprinted delta-like homologue 1 (*Dlk1/Pref1*) in development: Implications for the evolution of imprinting. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000392.
- Davis, E., C. H. Jensen, H. D. Schroder, F. Farnir, T. Shay-Hadfield, A. Kliem, N. Cockett, M. Georges, and C. Charlier. 2004. Ectopic expression of DLK1 protein in skeletal muscle of padumnal heterozygotes causes the callipyge phenotype. Curr. Biol. 14:1858–1862.
- De Angelis, L., L. Berghella, M. Coletta, L. Lattanzi, M. Zanchi, M. G. Cusella-De Angelis, C. Ponzetto, and G. Cossu. 1999. Skeletal myogenic progenitors originating from embryonic dorsal aorta coexpress endothelial and myogenic markers and contribute to postnatal muscle growth and regeneration. J. Cell Biol. 147:869–878.
- Deasy, B. M., J. M. Feduska, T. R. Payne, Y. Li, F. Ambrosio, and J. Huard. 2009. Effect of VEGF on the regenerative capacity of muscle stem cells in dystrophic skeletal muscle. Mol. Ther. 17:1788–1798.
- Dellavalle, A., M. Sampaolesi, R. Tonlorenzi, E. Tagliafico, B. Sacchetti, L. Perani, A. Innocenzi, B. G. Galvez, G. Messina, R. Morosetti, S. Li, M. Belicchi, G. Peretti, J. S. Chamberlain, W. E. Wright, Y. Torrente, S. Ferrari, P. Bianco, and G. Cossu. 2007. Pericytes of human skeletal muscle are myogenic precursors distinct from satellite cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 9:255–267.
- Dezawa, M., H. Ishikawa, Y. Itokazu, T. Yoshihara, M. Hoshino, S. Takeda, C. Ide, and Y. Nabeshima. 2005. Bone marrow stromal

931

cells generate muscle cells and repair muscle degeneration. Science $309{:}314{-}317.$

- DiMario, J. X., and F. E. Stockdale. 1997. Both myoblast lineage and innervation determine fiber type and are required for expression of the slow myosin heavy chain 2 gene. Dev. Biol. 188:167–180.
- Dodson, M. V., G. J. Hausman, L. Guan, M. Du, T. P. Rasmussen, S. P. Poulos, P. Mir, W. G. Bergen, M. E. Fernyhough, D. C. McFarland, R. P. Rhoads, B. Soret, J. M. Reecy, S. G. Velleman, and Z. Jiang. 2010a. Lipid metabolism, adipocyte depot physiology and utilization of meat animals as experimental models for metabolic research. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 6:691–699.
- Dodson, M. V., G. J. Hausman, L. Guan, M. Du, T. P. Rasmussen, S. P. Poulos, P. Mir, W. G. Bergen, M. E. Fernyhough, D. C. McFarland, R. P. Rhoads, B. Soret, J. M. Reecy, S. G. Velleman, and Z. Jiang. 2010b. Skeletal muscle stem cells from animals I. Basic cell biology. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 6:465–474.
- Edwards, C. A., and A. C. Ferguson-Smith. 2007. Mechanisms regulating imprinted genes in clusters. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 19:281–289.
- Eliasson, P., and J. I. Jonsson. 2010. The hematopoietic stem cell niche: Low in oxygen but a nice place to be. J. Cell. Physiol. 222:17–22.
- Enesco, M., and D. Puddy. 1964. Increase in the number of nuclei and weight in skeletal muscle of rats of various ages. Am. J. Anat. 114:235–244.
- Espina, A. G., C. Mendez-Vidal, M. A. Moreno-Mateos, C. Saez, A. Romero-Franco, M. A. Japon, and J. A. Pintor-Toro. 2009. Induction of Dlk1 by PTTG1 inhibits adipocyte differentiation and correlates with malignant transformation. Mol. Biol. Cell 20:3353–3362.
- Ferguson-Smith, A. C., and M. A. Surani. 2001. Imprinting and the epigenetic asymmetry between parental genomes. Science 293:1086–1089.
- Ferron, S. R., M. Charalambous, E. Radford, K. McEwen, H. Wildner, E. Hind, J. M. Morante-Redolat, J. Laborda, F. Guillemot, S. R. Bauer, I. Farinas, and A. C. Ferguson-Smith. 2011. Postnatal loss of *Dlk1* imprinting in stem cells and niche astrocytes regulates neurogenesis. Nature 475:381–385.
- Fiaschi, T., F. S. Tedesco, E. Giannoni, J. Diaz-Manera, M. Parri, G. Cossu, and P. Chiarugi. 2010. Globular adiponectin as a complete mesoangioblast regulator: Role in proliferation, survival, motility, and skeletal muscle differentiation. Mol. Biol. Cell 21:848–859.
- Fowden, A. L., C. Sibley, W. Reik, and M. Constancia. 2006. Imprinted genes, placental development and fetal growth. Horm. Res. 65(Suppl. 3):50–58.
- Freking, B. A., S. K. Murphy, A. A. Wylie, S. J. Rhodes, J. W. Keele, K. A. Leymaster, R. L. Jirtle, and T. P. Smith. 2002. Identification of the single base change causing the callipyge muscle hypertrophy phenotype, the only known example of polar overdominance in mammals. Genome Res. 12:1496–1506.
- Friday, B. B., and G. K. Pavlath. 2001. A calcineurin- and NFATdependent pathway regulates Myf5 gene expression in skeletal muscle reserve cells. J. Cell Sci. 114:303–310.
- Fuchs, E., T. Tumbar, and G. Guasch. 2004. Socializing with the neighbors: Stem cells and their niche. Cell 116:769–778.
- Gallagher, D., P. Kuznia, S. Heshka, J. Albu, S. B. Heymsfield, B. Goodpaster, M. Visser, and T. B. Harris. 2005. Adipose tissue in muscle: A novel depot similar in size to visceral adipose tissue. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 81:903–910.
- Gentry, J. G., J. J. McGlone, J. R. Blanton Jr., and M. F. Miller. 2002. Impact of spontaneous exercise on performance, meat quality, and muscle fiber characteristics of growing/finishing pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 80:2833–2839.
- Gibson, M. C., and E. Schultz. 1982. The distribution of satellite cells and their relationship to specific fiber types in soleus and extensor digitorum longus muscles. Anat. Rec. 202:329–337.
- Gilbert, P. M., K. L. Havenstrite, K. E. Magnusson, A. Sacco, N. A. Leonardi, P. Kraft, N. K. Nguyen, S. Thrun, M. P. Lutolf, and

H. M. Blau. 2010. Substrate elasticity regulates skeletal muscle stem cell self-renewal in culture. Science 329:1078–1081.

- Gill, J. L., S. C. Bishop, C. McCorquodale, J. L. Williams, and P. Wiener. 2009. Association of selected SNP with carcass and taste panel assessed meat quality traits in a commercial population of Aberdeen Angus-sired beef cattle. Genet. Sel. Evol. 41:36.
- Gopinath, S. D., and T. A. Rando. 2008. Stem cell review series: Aging of the skeletal muscle stem cell niche. Aging Cell 7:590– 598.
- Greco, V., and S. Guo. 2010. Compartmentalized organization: A common and required feature of stem cell niches? Development 137:1586–1594.
- Guo, J., T. Shan, T. Wu, L. N. Zhu, Y. Ren, S. An, and Y. Wang. 2011. Comparisons of different muscle metabolic enzymes and muscle fiber types in Jinhua and Landrace pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 89:185–191.
- Haegeman, A., J. L. Williams, A. Law, A. Van Zeveren, and L. J. Peelman. 2003. Mapping and SNP analysis of bovine candidate genes for meat and carcass quality. Anim. Genet. 34:349–353.
- Hagan, J. P., B. L. O'Neill, C. L. Stewart, S. V. Kozlov, and C. M. Croce. 2009. At least ten genes define the imprinted *Dlk1-Dio3* cluster on mouse chromosome 12qF1. PLoS ONE 4:e4352.
- Halevy, O., Y. Piestun, M. Z. Allouh, B. W. Rosser, Y. Rinkevich, R. Reshef, I. Rozenboim, M. Wleklinski-Lee, and Z. Yablonka-Reuveni. 2004. Pattern of Pax7 expression during myogenesis in the posthatch chicken establishes a model for satellite cell differentiation and renewal. Dev. Dyn. 231:489–502.
- Hu, H. H., K. S. Nayak, and M. I. Goran. 2011. Assessment of abdominal adipose tissue and organ fat content by magnetic resonance imaging. Obes. Rev. 12:e504–e515.
- Hwang, Y. H., G. D. Kim, J. Y. Jeong, S. J. Hur, and S. T. Joo. 2010. The relationship between muscle fiber characteristics and meat quality traits of highly marbled Hanwoo (Korean native cattle) steers. Meat Sci. 86:456–461.
- Joe, A. W., L. Yi, A. Natarajan, F. Le Grand, L. So, J. Wang, M. A. Rudnicki, and F. M. Rossi. 2010. Muscle injury activates resident fibro/adipogenic progenitors that facilitate myogenesis. Nat. Cell Biol. 12:153–163.
- Kanisicak, O., J. J. Mendez, S. Yamamoto, M. Yamamoto, and D. J. Goldhamer. 2009. Progenitors of skeletal muscle satellite cells express the muscle determination gene, *MyoD*. Dev. Biol. 332:131–141.
- Kästner, S., M. C. Elias, A. J. Rivera, and Z. Yablonka-Reuveni. 2000. Gene expression patterns of the fibroblast growth factors and their receptors during myogenesis of rat satellite cells. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 48:1079–1096.
- Kawahara, M., Q. Wu, A. C. Ferguson-Smith, and T. Kono. 2007. Appropriate expression of imprinted genes on mouse chromosome 12 extends development of bi-maternal embryos to term. FEBS Lett. 581:5178–5184.
- Kim, J. M., K. T. Lee, K. S. Lim, E. W. Park, Y. S. Lee, and K. C. Hong. 2010. Effects of p.C430S polymorphism in the PPARG-C1A gene on muscle fibre type composition and meat quality in Yorkshire pigs. Anim. Genet. 41:642–645.
- Kim, Y., J. Ryu, J. Woo, J. B. Kim, C. Y. Kim, and C. Lee. 2011. Genome-wide association study reveals five nucleotide sequence variants for carcass traits in beef cattle. Anim. Genet. 42:361– 365.
- Knoblich, J. A. 2008. Mechanisms of asymmetric stem cell division. Cell 132:583–597.
- Kuang, S., M. A. Gillespie, and M. A. Rudnicki. 2008. Niche regulation of muscle satellite cell self-renewal and differentiation. Cell Stem Cell 2:22–31.
- Kuang, S., K. Kuroda, F. Le Grand, and M. A. Rudnicki. 2007. Asymmetric self-renewal and commitment of satellite stem cells in muscle. Cell 129:999–1010.
- Kuang, S., and M. A. Rudnicki. 2008. The emerging biology of satellite cells and their therapeutic potential. Trends Mol. Med. 14:82–91.

- LaBarge, M. A., and H. M. Blau. 2002. Biological progression from adult bone marrow to mononucleate muscle stem cell to multinucleate muscle fiber in response to injury. Cell 111:589–601.
- Lee, J. S., J. M. Kim, J. S. Hong, K. S. Lim, K. C. Hong, and Y. S. Lee. 2011. Effects of polymorphisms in the 3' untranslated region of the porcine *PPARGC1A* gene on muscle fiber characteristics and meat quality traits. Mol. Biol. Rep. In press.
- Lee, K., J. A. Villena, Y. S. Moon, K. H. Kim, S. Lee, C. Kang, and H. S. Sul. 2003. Inhibition of adipogenesis and development of glucose intolerance by soluble preadipocyte factor-1 (Pref-1). J. Clin. Invest. 111:453–461.
- Lee, S. H., S. T. Joo, and Y. C. Ryu. 2010. Skeletal muscle fiber type and myofibrillar proteins in relation to meat quality. Meat Sci. 86:166–170.
- Lepper, C., T. A. Partridge, and C. M. Fan. 2011. An absolute requirement for Pax7-positive satellite cells in acute injuryinduced skeletal muscle regeneration. Development 138:3639– 3646.
- Li, L., and T. Xie. 2005. Stem cell niche: Structure and function. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 21:605–631.
- Li, Y. P. 2003. TNF-alpha is a mitogen in skeletal muscle. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 285:C370–C376.
- Lin, J., H. Wu, P. T. Tarr, C. Y. Zhang, Z. Wu, O. Boss, L. F. Michael, P. Puigserver, E. Isotani, E. N. Olson, B. B. Lowell, R. Bassel-Duby, and B. M. Spiegelman. 2002. Transcriptional co-activator PGC-1 alpha drives the formation of slow-twitch muscle fibres. Nature 418:797–801.
- Liu, H., J. Wang, R. Zhang, X. Chen, H. Yu, H. Jin, L. Li, C. Han, F. Xu, B. Kang, H. He, and H. Xu. 2011. In ovo feeding of IGF-1 to ducks influences neonatal skeletal muscle hypertrophy and muscle mass growth upon satellite cell activation. J. Cell. Physiol. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcp.22862
- Liu, L., G. Z. Luo, W. Yang, X. Zhao, Q. Zheng, Z. Lv, W. Li, H. J. Wu, L. Wang, X. J. Wang, and Q. Zhou. 2010. Activation of the imprinted Dlk1-Dio3 region correlates with pluripotency levels of mouse stem cells. J. Biol. Chem. 285:19483–19490.
- Liu, W., Y. Liu, X. Lai, and S. Kuang. 2012. Intramuscular adipose is derived from a non-Pax3 lineage and required for efficient regeneration of skeletal muscles. Dev. Biol. 361:27–38.
- Marcus, Y., and N. Stern. 2006. Fat cell-derived modulators of vascular cell pathophysiology: The list keeps growing. J. Cardiometab. Syndr. 1:121–124.
- Mathew, S. J., J. M. Hansen, A. J. Merrell, M. M. Murphy, J. A. Lawson, D. A. Hutcheson, M. S. Hansen, M. Angus-Hill, and G. Kardon. 2011. Connective tissue fibroblasts and Tcf4 regulate myogenesis. Development 138:371–384.
- Mauro, A. 1961. Satellite cell of skeletal muscle fibers. J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol. 9:493–495.
- Mayeuf, A., and F. Relaix. 2011. (Notch pathway: From development to regeneration of skeletal muscle). Med. Sci. (Paris) 27:521–526.
- McLennan, I. S., and K. Koishi. 2002. The transforming growth factor-betas: Multifaceted regulators of the development and maintenance of skeletal muscles, motoneurons and Schwann cells. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 46:559–567.
- McLoon, L. K. 2009. A new role for satellite cells: Control of reinnervation after muscle injury by semaphorin 3A. Focus on "Possible implication of satellite cells in regenerative motoneuritogenesis: HGF upregulates neural chemorepellent Sema3A during myogenic differentiation". Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 297:C227–C230.
- Melo, F., D. J. Carey, and E. Brandan. 1996. Extracellular matrix is required for skeletal muscle differentiation but not myogenin expression. J. Cell. Biochem. 62:227–239.
- Miller, F. D., and A. Gauthier-Fisher. 2009. Home at last: Neural stem cell niches defined. Cell Stem Cell 4:507–510.
- Mitchell, K. J., A. Pannerec, B. Cadot, A. Parlakian, V. Besson, E. R. Gomes, G. Marazzi, and D. A. Sassoon. 2010. Identification and characterization of a non-satellite cell muscle resi-

dent progenitor during postnatal development. Nat. Cell Biol. $12{:}257{-}266.$

- Molgó, J., C. Colasantei, D. S. Adams, and E. Jaimovich. 2004. IP3 receptors and Ca²⁺ signals in adult skeletal muscle satellite cells in situ. Biol. Res. 37:635–639.
- Moon, Y. S., C. M. Smas, K. Lee, J. A. Villena, K. H. Kim, E. J. Yun, and H. S. Sul. 2002. Mice lacking paternally expressed Pref-1/Dlk1 display growth retardation and accelerated adiposity. Mol. Cell. Biol. 22:5585–5592.
- Moore, S. C., M. F. Leitzmann, D. Albanes, S. J. Weinstein, K. Snyder, J. Virtamo, J. Ahn, S. T. Mayne, H. Yu, U. Peters, and M. J. Gunter. 2009. Adipokine genes and prostate cancer risk. Int. J. Cancer 124:869–876.
- Moss, F. P., and C. P. Leblond. 1971. Satellite cells as the source of nuclei in muscles of growing rats. Anat. Rec. 170:421–435.
- Murphy, M. M., J. A. Lawson, S. J. Mathew, D. A. Hutcheson, and G. Kardon. 2011. Satellite cells, connective tissue fibroblasts and their interactions are crucial for muscle regeneration. Development 138:3625–3637.
- Nishikawa, S. I., M. Osawa, S. Yonetani, S. Torikai-Nishikawa, and R. Freter. 2008. Niche required for inducing quiescent stem cells. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 73:67–71.
- Nueda, M. L., V. Baladron, J. J. Garcia-Ramirez, B. Sanchez-Solana, M. D. Ruvira, S. Rivero, M. A. Ballesteros, E. M. Monsalve, M. J. Diaz-Guerra, M. J. Ruiz-Hidalgo, and J. Laborda. 2007a. The novel gene EGFL9/Dlk2, highly homologous to Dlk1, functions as a modulator of adipogenesis. J. Mol. Biol. 367:1270–1280.
- Nueda, M. L., V. Baladron, B. Sanchez-Solana, M. A. Ballesteros, and J. Laborda. 2007b. The EGF-like protein dlk1 inhibits notch signaling and potentiates adipogenesis of mesenchymal cells. J. Mol. Biol. 367:1281–1293.
- Nueda, M. L., J. J. Garcia-Ramirez, J. Laborda, and V. Baladron. 2008. dlk1 specifically interacts with insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 to modulate adipogenesis of 3T3–L1 cells. J. Mol. Biol. 379:428–442.
- Olguin, H. C., and B. B. Olwin. 2004. Pax-7 up-regulation inhibits myogenesis and cell cycle progression in satellite cells: A potential mechanism for self-renewal. Dev. Biol. 275:375–388.
- Olson, E. N., and R. S. Williams. 2000. Remodeling muscles with calcineurin. Bioessays 22:510–519.
- Ota, S., K. Uehara, M. Nozaki, T. Kobayashi, S. Terada, K. Tobita, F. H. Fu, and J. Huard. 2011. Intramuscular transplantation of muscle-derived stem cells accelerates skeletal muscle healing after contusion injury via enhancement of angiogenesis. Am. J. Sports Med. 39:1912–1922.
- Palacios, D., C. Mozzetta, S. Consalvi, G. Caretti, V. Saccone, V. Proserpio, V. E. Marquez, S. Valente, A. Mai, S. V. Forcales, V. Sartorelli, and P. L. Puri. 2010. TNF/p38alpha/polycomb signaling to Pax7 locus in satellite cells links inflammation to the epigenetic control of muscle regeneration. Cell Stem Cell 7:455–469.
- Pallafacchina, G., S. Francois, B. Regnault, B. Czarny, V. Dive, A. Cumano, D. Montarras, and M. Buckingham. 2010. An adult tissue-specific stem cell in its niche: A gene profiling analysis of in vivo quiescent and activated muscle satellite cells. Stem Cell Res. 4:77–91.
- Porrello, E. R., B. A. Johnson, A. B. Aurora, E. Simpson, Y. J. Nam, S. J. Matkovich, G. W. Dorn 2nd, E. van Rooij, and E. N. Olson. 2011. miR-15 family regulates postnatal mitotic arrest of cardiomyocytes. Circ. Res. 109:670–679.
- Rao, J. S., R. L. Beach, and B. W. Festoff. 1985. Extracellular matrix (ECM) synthesis in muscle cell cultures: Quantitative and qualitative studies during myogenesis. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 130:440–446.
- Rasbach, K. A., R. K. Gupta, J. L. Ruas, J. Wu, E. Naseri, J. L. Estall, and B. M. Spiegelman. 2010. PGC-1alpha regulates a HIF2alpha-dependent switch in skeletal muscle fiber types. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107:21866–21871.

- Rhoads, R. P., R. M. Johnson, C. R. Rathbone, X. Liu, C. Temm-Grove, S. M. Sheehan, J. B. Hoying, and R. E. Allen. 2009. Satellite cell-mediated angiogenesis in vitro coincides with a functional hypoxia-inducible factor pathway. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 296:C1321–C1328.
- Rosenblatt, J. D., D. J. Parry, and T. A. Partridge. 1996. Phenotype of adult mouse muscle myoblasts reflects their fiber type of origin. Differentiation 60:39–45.
- Sakajiri, S., J. O'Kelly, D. Yin, C. W. Miller, W. K. Hofmann, K. Oshimi, L. Y. Shih, K. H. Kim, H. S. Sul, C. H. Jensen, B. Teisner, N. Kawamata, and H. P. Koeffler. 2005. Dlk1 in normal and abnormal hematopoiesis. Leukemia 19:1404–1410.
- Sambasivan, R., R. Yao, A. Kissenpfennig, L. Van Wittenberghe, A. Paldi, B. Gayraud-Morel, H. Guenou, B. Malissen, S. Tajbakhsh, and A. Galy. 2011. Pax7-expressing satellite cells are indispensable for adult skeletal muscle regeneration. Development 138:3647–3656.
- Sampaolesi, M., S. Blot, G. D'Antona, N. Granger, R. Tonlorenzi, A. Innocenzi, P. Mognol, J. L. Thibaud, B. G. Galvez, I. Barthelemy, L. Perani, S. Mantero, M. Guttinger, O. Pansarasa, C. Rinaldi, M. G. Cusella De Angelis, Y. Torrente, C. Bordignon, R. Bottinelli, and G. Cossu. 2006. Mesoangioblast stem cells ameliorate muscle function in dystrophic dogs. Nature 444:574–579.
- Samulin, J., P. R. Berg, H. Sundvold, E. Grindflek, and S. Lien. 2009. Expression of DLK1 splice variants during porcine adipocyte development in vitro and in vivo. Anim. Genet. 40:239–241.
- Sánchez-Solana, B., M. L. Nueda, M. D. Ruvira, M. J. Ruiz-Hidalgo, E. M. Monsalve, S. Rivero, J. J. García-Ramirez, M. J. M. Díaz-Guerra, V. Baladrón, and J. Laborda. 2011. The EGF-like proteins DLK1 and DLK2 function as inhibitory non-canonical ligands of NOTCH1 receptor that modulate each other's activities. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1813:1153–1164.
- Schiaffino, S., and C. Mammucari. 2011. Regulation of skeletal muscle growth by the IGF1-Akt/PKB pathway: Insights from genetic models. Skelet. Muscle 1:4.
- Schiaffino, S., and C. Reggiani. 1996. Molecular diversity of myofibrillar proteins: Gene regulation and functional significance. Physiol. Rev. 76:371–423.
- Schiaffino, S., and A. Serrano. 2002. Calcineurin signaling and neural control of skeletal muscle fiber type and size. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 23:569–575.
- Schofield, R. 1978. The relationship between the spleen colony-forming cell and the haemopoietic stem cell. Blood Cells 4:7–25.
- Schrauwen-Hinderling, V. B., M. K. Hesselink, P. Schrauwen, and M. E. Kooi. 2006. Intramyocellular lipid content in human skeletal muscle. Obesity (Silver Spring) 14:357–367.
- Serrano, A. L., B. Baeza-Raja, E. Perdiguero, M. Jardi, and P. Munoz-Canoves. 2008. Interleukin-6 is an essential regulator of satellite cell-mediated skeletal muscle hypertrophy. Cell Metab. 7:33–44.
- Shefer, G., D. P. Van de Mark, J. B. Richardson, and Z. Yablonka-Reuveni. 2006. Satellite-cell pool size does matter: Defining the myogenic potency of aging skeletal muscle. Dev. Biol. 294:50–66.
- Shim, W. S., I. A. Ho, and P. E. Wong. 2007. Angiopoietin: A TIE(d) balance in tumor angiogenesis. Mol. Cancer Res. 5:655–665.
- Shinin, V., B. Gayraud-Morel, D. Gomes, and S. Tajbakhsh. 2006. Asymmetric division and cosegregation of template DNA strands in adult muscle satellite cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 8:677– 687.
- Stadtfeld, M., E. Apostolou, H. Akutsu, A. Fukuda, P. Follett, S. Natesan, T. Kono, T. Shioda, and K. Hochedlinger. 2010. Aberrant silencing of imprinted genes on chromosome 12qF1 in mouse induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature 465:175–181.
- Starkey, J. D., M. Yamamoto, S. Yamamoto, and D. J. Goldhamer. 2011. Skeletal muscle satellite cells are committed to myogenesis and do not spontaneously adopt nonmyogenic fates. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 59:33–46.
- Surmacz, B., P. Noisa, J. R. Risner-Janiczek, K. Hui, M. Ungless, W. Cui, and M. Li. 2011. DLK1 promotes neurogenesis of hu-

man and mouse pluripotent stem cell-derived neural progenitors via modulating notch and BMP signalling. Stem Cell Rev. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12015-011-9298-7.

- Taaffe, D. R., T. R. Henwood, M. A. Nalls, D. G. Walker, T. F. Lang, and T. B. Harris. 2009. Alterations in muscle attenuation following detraining and retraining in resistance-trained older adults. Gerontology 55:217–223.
- Takeda, H., F. Caiment, M. Smit, S. Hiard, X. Tordoir, N. Cockett, M. Georges, and C. Charlier. 2006. The callipyge mutation enhances bidirectional long-range DLK1–GTL2 intergenic transcription in *cis.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103:8119–8124.
- Tamaki, T., Y. Okada, Y. Uchiyama, K. Tono, M. Masuda, M. Nitta, A. Hoshi, and A. Akatsuka. 2008. Skeletal muscle-derived CD34+/45- and CD34-/45- stem cells are situated hierarchically upstream of Pax7+ cells. Stem Cells Dev. 17:653–667.
- Tatsumi, R. 2010. Mechano-biology of skeletal muscle hypertrophy and regeneration: Possible mechanism of stretch-induced activation of resident myogenic stem cells. Anim. Sci. J. 81:11–20.
- Tatsumi, R., A. Hattori, Y. Ikeuchi, J. E. Anderson, and R. E. Allen. 2002. Release of hepatocyte growth factor from mechanically stretched skeletal muscle satellite cells and role of pH and nitric oxide. Mol. Biol. Cell 13:2909–2918.
- Tatsumi, R., X. Liu, A. Pulido, M. Morales, T. Sakata, S. Dial, A. Hattori, Y. Ikeuchi, and R. E. Allen. 2006. Satellite cell activation in stretched skeletal muscle and the role of nitric oxide and hepatocyte growth factor. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 290:C1487–C1494.
- Tatsumi, R., Y. Sankoda, J. E. Anderson, Y. Sato, W. Mizunoya, N. Shimizu, T. Suzuki, M. Yamada, R. P. Rhoads Jr., Y. Ikeuchi, and R. E. Allen. 2009. Possible implication of satellite cells in regenerative motoneuritogenesis: HGF upregulates neural chemorepellent Sema3A during myogenic differentiation. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 297:C238–C252.
- Torrente, Y., M. Belicchi, M. Sampaolesi, F. Pisati, M. Meregalli, G. D'Antona, R. Tonlorenzi, L. Porretti, M. Gavina, K. Mamchaoui, M. A. Pellegrino, D. Furling, V. Mouly, G. S. Butler-Browne, R. Bottinelli, G. Cossu, and N. Bresolin. 2004. Human circulating AC133(+) stem cells restore dystrophin expression and ameliorate function in dystrophic skeletal muscle. J. Clin. Invest. 114:182–195.
- Toth, K. G., B. R. McKay, M. De Lisio, J. P. Little, M. A. Tarnopolsky, and G. Parise. 2011. IL-6 induced STAT3 signalling is associated with the proliferation of human muscle satellite cells following acute muscle damage. PLoS ONE 6:e17392.
- Ubeda, F., and J. F. Wilkins. 2008. Imprinted genes and human disease: An evolutionary perspective. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 626:101–115.
- Vettor, R., G. Milan, C. Franzin, M. Sanna, P. De Coppi, R. Rizzuto, and G. Federspil. 2009. The origin of intermuscular adipose tissue and its pathophysiological implications. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 297:E987–E998.
- Waddell, J. N., P. Zhang, Y. Wen, S. K. Gupta, A. Yevtodiyenko, J. V. Schmidt, C. A. Bidwell, A. Kumar, and S. Kuang. 2010. Dlk1 is necessary for proper skeletal muscle development and regeneration. PLoS ONE 5:e15055.
- Wang, W., H. Pan, K. Murray, B. S. Jefferson, and Y. Li. 2009. Matrix metalloproteinase-1 promotes muscle cell migration and differentiation. Am. J. Pathol. 174:541–549.
- Wegner, J., E. Albrecht, I. Fiedler, F. Teuscher, H. J. Papstein, and K. Ender. 2000. Growth- and breed-related changes of muscle fiber characteristics in cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 78:1485–1496.
- Weksberg, R. 2010. Imprinted genes and human disease. Am. J. Med. Genet. C. Semin. Med. Genet. 154C:317–320.
- Wilschut, K. J., H. P. Haagsman, and B. A. Roelen. 2010. Extracellular matrix components direct porcine muscle stem cell behavior. Exp. Cell Res. 316:341–352.
- Wu, H., B. Rothermel, S. Kanatous, P. Rosenberg, F. J. Naya, J. M. Shelton, K. A. Hutcheson, J. M. DiMaio, E. N. Olson, R. Bassel-Duby, and R. S. Williams. 2001. Activation of MEF2 by muscle activity is mediated through a calcineurin-dependent pathway. EMBO J. 20:6414–6423.

- Yablonka-Reuveni, Z., and A. J. Rivera. 1997. Influence of PDGF-BB on proliferation and transition through the MyoD-myogenin-MEF2A expression program during myogenesis in mouse C2 myoblasts. Growth Factors 15:1–27.
- Yablonka-Reuveni, Z., R. Seger, and A. J. Rivera. 1999. Fibroblast growth factor promotes recruitment of skeletal muscle satellite cells in young and old rats. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 47:23–42.
- Yanai, H., K. Nakamura, S. Hijioka, A. Kamei, T. Ikari, Y. Ishikawa, E. Shinozaki, N. Mizunuma, K. Hatake, and A. Miyajima. 2010. Dlk-1, a cell surface antigen on foetal hepatic stem/progenitor cells, is expressed in hepatocellular, colon, pancreas and breast carcinomas at a high frequency. J. Biochem. 148:85–92.
- Yim, J. E., S. Heshka, J. Albu, S. Heymsfield, P. Kuznia, T. Harris, and D. Gallagher. 2007. Intermuscular adipose tissue rivals

visceral adipose tissue in independent associations with cardiovascular risk. Int. J. Obes. (Lond.) 31:1400–1405.

- Zacks, S. I., and M. F. Sheff. 1982. Age-related impeded regeneration of mouse minced anterior tibial muscle. Muscle Nerve 5:152–161.
- Zammit, P. S., J. P. Golding, Y. Nagata, V. Hudon, T. A. Partridge, and J. R. Beauchamp. 2004. Muscle satellite cells adopt divergent fates: A mechanism for self-renewal? J. Cell Biol. 166:347–357.
- Zhao, Y., K. Haginoya, G. Sun, H. Dai, A. Onuma, and K. Iinuma. 2003. Platelet-derived growth factor and its receptors are related to the progression of human muscular dystrophy: An immunohistochemical study. J. Pathol. 201:149–159.